

N.E: 3-186867

DATE: JEWARY 10, 1977

MATTER OF: Lectrolisgnetics, Incorporated

DIGEST:

Written request for reconsideration of bid protest decision of this Office sent by cartified : 121 is dismissed as untimely because it neither was re. Aved at GAO within ten days after protester's receipt of that decision nor was sent within the time provided for mailing certified protests.

Telephone conversation, do's not satisfy requirement for filing request for reconsideration of bid protest decision. Requests for reconsideration must be in writing.

LectroMagnetics, Incorporated (LMI) requests reconsideration of our decision LectroMagnetics, Incorporated, 56 Comp. Gen. 3-186867, October 29, 1976, 76-2 CPD 371. LMI called this Office by telephone two or three working days after its receipt on Movember 3, 1976 of our decision, and received from the attorney who had handled its original protest advice on how to obtain reconsideration of the decision. At this time, LMI also advanced arguments concerning the substance of its protest which it may have intended as an oral request for reconsideration. Subsequantily, by certified letter, postmarked November 15, 1976 and received in this Office November 19, 1976, LMI formally requested reconsideration, arguing that our decision was contrary to regulation and prior decisions of this Office.

As explained below, the request for reconsideration is dismissed as untimely filed.

LMI had received a copy of our Bid Protest Procedures, 4 C.F.R. 20 et seq. (1976), by letter of July 12, 1976, following the filing of its initial protest with this Office. These procedures provide in section 20.9 that requests for reconsideration of a decision of the Comptroller General shall be filed not later then 10 working days after the basis for reconsideration is known or should have been known, whichever is earlier. While the

term "filed" means receipt in the General Accounting Office,
4 C.F.R. \$ 20.9(b) (1976), the term also connotes the placing
of a document among official records. Moreover, bid protest's
filed with this Office must be in writing, 4 C.F.R. 20.1(b) (1976),
and requests for reconsideration are subject to such bid protest
procedures consistent with the need for prompt resolution of the
matter. 4 C.F.R. \$ 20.9(c) (1976). Accordingly, the telephone
communication with this Office shortly after receipt of our
decision would not satisfy the requirement in our procedures for
filing requests for reconsideration.

As to the protester's written request for reconsideration, the record shows that it was sent by certified mail only two days prior to the deadline for receipt here and was actually related here two days after that deadline. The written request was not sent within the time provided for mailing protests by certified mail and was not received here within ten days after the basis for reconsideration was known to the protester. 4 C.F.R. \$\$ 20.2(b)(3) and 20.9 (b) (1976).

Accordingly, the request for reconsideration was untimely filed and is dismissed.

Paul G. Dembling General Counsel